May 20, 1922.

Bulletin No. 39

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
James F. Woodward, Secretary

BUREAU OF TOPOGRAPHIC AND GEOLOGICAL SURVEY George H. Ashley, State Geologist

COAL RESERVES IN ARMSTRONG COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

By

John F. Reese

Introduction.

In connection with the "Introduction to the Bituminous Coal Fields of Pennsylvania" now being prepared for publication by the Pennsylvania Geological Survey, coal reserves of the bituminous fields are being computed by Mr. John F. Reese. In order to render this information available at once without waiting for the uncertain date of printing the report, an abstract of Mr. Reese's figures for Armstrong County is given herewith. All of the information readily available at this time has been used in the computation, which followed the methods used by the Land Classification Board of the United States Geological Survey in its valuation of the public coal lands. The results are given by beds for each township. For some beds and for some areas the data are abundant and the results entirely reliable. For other beds and areas the data are meager and the results subject to revision as additional data are obtained. All the data used and the computation sheets are permanently filed so that modifications of the original figures can be made readily when required by additional information.

The figures presented are preliminary and subject to correction for any area when that area shall be studied in detail. In the meantime critical examination of the figures is invited in order that the results may be made as accurate as possible. To that end the Survey will welcome every bit of information not now in its possession. Records of drillings and other data will be kept strictly confidential if so desired, although the Bureau naturally prefers to be able to use data freely.

COAL BEDS.

Armstrong County has six coal beds that are now of economic interest. In order of present importance as shipping coals they are 'the Upper Freeport, Lower Kittanning, Upper Kittanning, Lower Freeport, Pittsburgh, and Brookville.

Upper Freeport coal. The extensive development and outcrop of this bed throughout the county have furnished many measurements of its thickness, making possible an accurate estimate of quantity.

The percentage of this bed that can be recovered is governed by the sequence in which the Upper and Lower Freeport coals are mined. If the Lower Freeport bed is worked first and pillars are drawn, the overlying rocks will cave and break the Upper Freeport bed, thereby causing a partial and in many places complete loss of that coal.

The Upper Freeport bed contains the second greatest reserve within the county, and is the largest producer, yielding more than 3,500,000 tons annually.

Lower Kittanning coal. Throughout the townships in the northern half of the county where this coal outcrops a fair amount of information as to its thickness and persistency is available. For the townships in the southern half, data are meager, and a general average based on thicknesses in surrounding areas was adopted, and a low percentage of recovery allowed because the extent and thickness of this hed are not known.

This bed contains the greatest coal reserve within the county, and ranks second in production with a total of over 1,500,000 tons annually.

Upper Kittanning coal. Little information as to the entent and thickness of this bed is available, except from its recognized outcrop and development in a few localities. This bed is extremely variable as to section and thickness, attaining great height in local troughs or channels 200 to 500 yerds in width, and thinning to 12 inches or less at trough limits. It is known as the "Pot Vein" because of this peculiarity. The Upper Kittanning bed in these troughs is a cannel coal, with 24 inches and less of bituminous coal under the cannel: Where available measurements show sufficient thickness for mining, the writer assumed the presence of small workable areas contiguous to the place of measurement. The quantity of coal was computed from the average thickness of the bed in that vicinity. A low percentage of recovery has been adopted, owing to the extreme variability of this bed, and lack of knowleage as to its persistency and extent.

The Upper Kittanning coal as computed in this report is fifth in size of reserve in the county, and ranks third in production with a total of over 300,000 tons annually.

Lower Freeport coal. A fair number of measurements of the thickness of this coal are available from its outcrop and development, making possible a fairly accurate computation of quantity.

THE PARTY OF THE P THE TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE OF A sold will be a series where the property of the overland to extend . Consider the contract of the Little is known as to the extent and thickness over large areas, and a general average based on thicknesses in surrounding areas was used.

A low percentage of recovery was adopted for this reason, and because of the general "faulty" condition of the bed where it is mined.

The Lower Freeport coal is third in size of reserve within the county, and ranks fourth in production with a total of over 200,000 tons annually.

Pittsburgh coal. The extensive development and outcrop of this bed furnish a fair number of measurements of its thickness, thus making possible a reliable computation of quantity.

Owing to lack of knowledge of the extent and persistency of coals not mentioned herein, the Pittsburgh bed can be considered as sixth in size of reserve within the county, and fifth in production, with a total of over 120,000 tons annually.

Brookville coal. This bed has been computed as of economic importance in eight townships, all in the Mahoning basin. Little information as to extent and persistency of this coal is available, therefore the computations for these townships are based on general average thicknesses to accord with measurements in adjoining areas. A low percentage of recovery has been adopted for this reason.

The best available information indicates that this bed is fourth in size of reserve within the county, and ranks sixth in production with a total of over 8.500 tons annually.

Method of Computing Reserves.

A base map for each coal bed was made by tracing its outcrop from the quadrangle maps made by the U. S. Geological Survey. All available measurements of a coal bed, gathered from Federal and State reports, mine maps, core drill records and personal inspections, were plotted on the map of that coal bed at the locality represented. By studying the distribution of the figures, areas of equal thickness were plotted, and by means of a planimeter, an instrument for measuring plane areas, the area of each coal bed in each township was measured. The unit used for calculating the quantity of coal was 90,000 short tons per inch of bed per square mile of area.

Worked-out areas were determined from mine maps and plotted to scale on the base maps. The same method as above was used for computing the quantity of coal extracted.

For some localities, no information is available as to the mined out areas of the various beds computed herein. For these places, an estimate of probable depletion has been made, based on age and size of operation, or on the difference between original areas and statements of acreages remaining unmined.

PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUCT OF THE PRO

Having calculated the quantity of coal originally contained within the area of any bed and subtracted the quantity already mined out, the writer determined from engineering experience the probable percentage of each bed which could be recovered in different localities. This varies from 60 to 90 per cent, depending on the thickness and character of the bed. The quantity of coal computed to be in any bed, multiplied by the assumed percentage of recovery, less 15 per cent for loss in mining, gives the estimated recoverable tonnage.

Coal Reserves.

The area of Armstrong County is 682.2 square miles.

The result of computing the coal reserves in Armstrong County based on the latest maps, engineering data, and methods is shown in the accompanying tables.

One table gives the estimated recoverable tonnage by beds and townships. The figures have been given as computed. It should however be distinctly understood that while the acreage of each of the beds has been accurately computed, the reliability of the average thickness of the coals used in the computation of tonnage decreases for the beds in the order following: Pittsburgh, Upper Freeport, Lower Kittanning, Lower Freeport, Upper Kittanning, and Brookville. Thus, while the figures for the Pittsburgh bed are conservative and probably reliable, the figures for the Brookville coal may be much too small or many times too large.

Coal Reserves in Armstrong County in Short Tons.

Bed	Original Deposit	Mined out	Recoverable
Pittsburgh	17,500,000	13,900,000	2,800,000
U. Freeport	1,288,300,000	60,350,000	943,000,000
L. Freeport	607,000,000	5,000,000	387,100,000
U. Kittanning	131,500,000	4,500,000	69,900,000
L. Kittanning	1,541,200,000	23,510,000	1,001,000,000
Brookville	165,200,000	30,000	87,300,000
Total	3,750,700,000	107,290,000	2,491,100,000

determine and analytic of the analytic of coal principly contributed and the affect of the affect of

Cool Recerves.

entities execute A. 205 of Trans. Countries to sexo and

The result of comparing the cost reserved to the creater County of the cost of

Down to the control of the control of the computer of the control of the control

tool seems in Americans County is There is a

	Jun Burit	Organist Deposts	565
000,006,2	300,000,82	000,000.71	
	609,000,00	1,588,889,600	
000,981,785	800,000,4	000,000,700	
000,000,95	000,000,4	000,005,101	
	-000,000,00	2000,00%,108,1	
	000,02	185,200,000	
0.0,011,191,2	000,000,001	0,780,400,000	

Total	81,700,000 73,100,000 73,100,000 73,100,000 151,800,000 152,200,000 152,200,000 152,700,000 152,700,000 152,700,000 152,700,000 152,900,000 15,800,000 15,800,000 16,500,000 108,700,000 106,500,000 185,900,000 185,900,000 185,900,000 185,900,000 185,900,000 185,900,000	
Brookville	18,000,000 9,900,000 1,000,000 3,800,000 5,200,000 5,200,000	
L.Kittanning	24, 300, 000 20, 700, 000 20, 700, 000 59, 900, 000 59, 800, 000 37, 600, 000 17, 900, 000 18, 000, 000 18, 000, 000 60, 900, 000 71, 500, 000 58, 300, 000 58, 300, 000 58, 100, 000 58, 100, 000 58, 100, 000 59, 700, 000	The statement of the st
U.Kittamk	1,800,000 3,600,000 2,600,000 2,000,000 1,500,000 4,400,000 1,300,000 1,300,000 1,300,000 1,300,000 1,300,000 1,300,000	
L.Freeport	13,000,000 19,600,000 35,300,000 1,800,000 20,700,000 20,700,000 20,700,000 10,400,000 20,700,000 11,000,000 25,600,000 25,600,000 25,600,000	
U.Freemort	24,400,000 12,800,000 33,100,000 34,100,000 25,400,000 25,400,000 25,400,000 25,400,000 30,700,000 15,100,000 15,100,000 15,000,000 48,800,000 48,800,000 943,000,000	a was productive and all subjects or construction of the subject o
Pgh.	nd	
Township	Bethel Bogss Bradys Bend Burnell Cowanshamock K. Franklin Gilpin Hovey Kiskimhtas 1,1 Kittaming Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoring Mahoring Mahoring Mahoring Mahoring Mahoring Mahor Suffalo Parks Pine Parks Pine Rayburn Redbank South Bend 1,7 Sugar Creek Valley Wayne Wayne Wayne	

